


Max Cut Problem

Daniel Natapov



Max Cut is NP-Hard!

We show that it is NP-Hard by a reduction from the NAE-3-SAT Problem.

The Not All Equal-3-SAT Problem is very similar to the 3-SAT problem, and can easily be shown to be NP-Hard by a reduction from Circuit SAT.



NAE-3-SAT Problem


Not All Equal-3-SAT:

- A circuit consisting of a big AND of clauses
- Each clause is the OR of at most 3 literals
- Each literal is a variable or its negation.
- Each clause has at least one true literal and at least one false literal.

does it have a satisfying assignment X?

F T F F T F

$\overline{x} \text{ or } y \text{ or } \overline{z}$ AND $x \text{ or } \overline{w} \text{ or } a$ AND ...



The Reduction – Step 0

Max Cut \in NP

- Change problem to: “Is there a cut of size $\geq K$?”
- We can easily check in poly-time, that the size of a given cut is $\geq K$.



The Reduction – Step 1

What to reduce it to?

Reduce to NAE-3-SAT

$\text{NAE-3-SAT} \leq \text{Max Cut}$



The Reduction – Step 2

What is what?

$P_{ne} = \text{Max Cut}$


$P_{is} = \text{NAE-3-SAT}$

I_{ne}^w S_{ne}

$I_{is}^{\text{NP-comp}}$ S_{is}

w w

NP-comp NP-comp



F T F F T F

$\overline{x} \text{or} y \text{or} \overline{z}$ AND $x \text{or} \overline{y} \text{or} y$ AND ...



The Reduction – Step 3

Direction of Reduction and Code

- Want to show that Max Cut is hard
- **NAE-3-SAT \leq Max Cut**
- Then, since we know NAE-3-SAT is hard, Max Cut must be hard too.

$\text{Alg}_{\text{alg}} = \text{NAE-3-SAT}$

$\text{Alg}_{\text{oracle}} = \text{Max}$

Cut

```
algorithm  $\text{Alg}_{\text{alg}}(I_{\text{alg}})$   
<pre-cond>:  $I_{\text{alg}}$  is an instance of  $P_{\text{alg}}$ .  
<post-cond>: Determine whether  $I_{\text{alg}}$   
has a solution  $S_{\text{alg}}$  and if so returns it.  
begin  
   $I_{\text{oracle}} = \text{InstanceMap}(I_{\text{alg}})$   
   $\langle \text{ans}_{\text{oracle}}, S_{\text{oracle}} \rangle = \text{Alg}_{\text{oracle}}(I_{\text{oracle}})$   
  if(  $\text{ans}_{\text{oracle}} = \text{Yes}$  ) then  
     $\text{ans}_{\text{alg}} = \text{Yes}$   
     $S_{\text{alg}} = \text{SolutionMap}(S_{\text{oracle}})$   
  else  
     $\text{ans}_{\text{alg}} = \text{No}$   
     $S_{\text{alg}} = \text{nil}$   
  end if  
  return( $\langle \text{ans}_{\text{alg}}, S_{\text{alg}} \rangle$ )  
end algorithm
```


The Reduction – Step 4

Look for Similarities

NAE-3-SAT

Literals

$X \quad \neg X \quad Y \quad \neg Y \quad Z$


Clauses

$(X \vee \neg Y \vee Z)$

Boolean Assignment

F T F F T F

Max Cut



The Reduction – Step 5

Instance Maps

- For every clause $C_i(A \vee B \vee C)$, $i = 1..m$, produce a triangle (A, B, C) in the graph.
- If two literals in the clause are the same, the “triangle” has a double edge.
- Finally, for each literal x_i , create an edge between x_i and $\neg x_i$ for each time x_i or $\neg x_i$ appear.




The Reduction – Step 5

Instance Maps

For example:

$(X1 \vee X2 \vee X2)$ AND $(X1 \vee \neg X3 \vee \neg X3)$ AND $(\neg X1 \vee \neg X2 \vee X3)$

- For every clause $C_i(A \vee B \vee C)$, $i= 1..m$,
produce a triangle (A, B, C) in the graph.




The Reduction – Step 5

Instance Maps

For example:

$(X1 \vee X2 \vee X2)$ AND $(X1 \vee \neg X3 \vee \neg X3)$ AND $(\neg X1 \vee \neg X2 \vee X3)$

- For each literal x_i , create an edge between x_i and $\neg x_i$ for each time x_i or $\neg x_i$ appear.




The Reduction – Step 5

Instance Maps

For example:

$(X1 \vee X2 \vee X2)$ AND $(X1 \vee \neg X3 \vee \neg X3)$ AND $(\neg X1 \vee \neg X2 \vee X3)$

- We ask Max Cut, if there exists a cut of size K , where $K \geq 5$ (number of clauses).
- If yes, then there exists a valid assignment for NAE-3-SAT.



The Reduction – Step 6


Solution Map

For example:

$(X1 \vee X2 \vee X2)$ AND $(X1 \vee \neg X3 \vee \neg X3)$ AND $(\neg X1 \vee \neg X2 \vee X3)$

- Max Cut (The oracle) returns a cut. To find the solution to NAE-3-SAT, we assign all vertices on one side of the cut to True, and the ones on the other side to False.

Here: $X1=\text{True}$, $X2=\text{False}$, $X3=\text{True}$




The Reduction – Step 7

Valid to Valid

Assume the oracle (Max Cut), finds a cut of size $5n$ (number of clauses).


We can safely assume that for this cut, all X_i are separated from $\neg X_i$ by the cut. If they are on the same side of the cut, they contribute at most $2n$ edges. Splitting them up would yield n edges from X_i to $\neg X_i$, plus at least half what they were contributing before, so there is no decrease.



The Reduction – Step 7

Valid to Valid


- For our example, we had 3 clauses. Here is one cut whose size is $=15$. ($5 * m$)
- The number of edges in the cut that connect X_i to $\neg X_i$ is $3m$ (in our case 9). Basically one edge for every literal.
- The other $2m$ edges (in our case 6), must come from the triangles.
- Each triangle can contribute at most 2 edges to a cut. Therefore, all m triangles are split by the cut.



The Reduction – Step 7

Valid to Valid

- All m triangles are split by the cut.
- Since a triangle is actually a clause of three literals, and every “clause” is split by the cut, by assigning True to one side of the cut and False to the other side of the cut, we ensure that every clause has at least one True and one False literal.
- This satisfies NAE-3-SAT, so if the cut returned my Max Cut is valid, our solution is valid.



The Reduction – Step 7


Valid to Valid

For our example:

$(X1 \vee X2 \vee X2) \text{ AND } (X1 \vee \neg X3 \vee \neg X3) \text{ AND } (\neg X1 \vee \neg X2 \vee X3)$
 $(T \vee F \vee T) \text{ AND } (T \vee F \vee F) \text{ AND } (F \vee T \vee F)$

X1 \neg X2 X3 | \neg X1 \neg X2 \neg X3

X1: T X2: F X3: T



The Reduction – Steps 8&9

Reverse Solution Map


Conversely, it is also possible for a valid solution for Max Cut to be found using a NAE-3-SAT oracle, (but it is not covered in this presentation).



The Reduction – Step 10

Working Algorithm

- We now have a working algorithm for the NAE-3-SAT problem.
- We translate the inputted list of clauses into a graph, and ask our Oracle: “Given this graph, is there a cut of size $5m$?”
- If the Oracle says yes, and returns a cut, we assign True to all literals on one side of the cut, and False to all literals on the other side of the cut.
- We have a valid assignment.



The Reduction – Step 11

Running Time?

- We can create an instance map (clauses \rightarrow graph) in polynomial time.
- We can also create a solution map (cut \rightarrow Boolean assignment) in polynomial time.
- If our Max Cut Oracle can answer the question in polynomial time, we can solve NAE-3-SAT in poly time!
- (Of course, so far no known polynomial time algorithm for Max Cut is known).




Max Cut – Running Time

- The best known algorithm for finding an optimal solution for the Max Cut problem runs in $2^{\theta(n)}$ time.
- Is there a better way?



Max Cut – Randomized Algorithm

- Here is a simple approximation Max Cut Algorithm instead:
- The cut divides the vertices into two sets. For each vertex...



Max Cut – Randomized Algorithm

- Flip a coin! To see in which of the two sets the vertex lies.
- Each edge crosses over the cut with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.
The expected number of edges to cross over the cut is $|E|/2$.
- Since the optimal solution can not have more than all the edges cross over the cut, the expected solution is within a factor of 2.
- And the Randomized Algorithm runs in $\theta(n)$ time!



That's it!

Questions? Comments? Praise?

