Double-Beta Decay of ⁹⁶Zr and Double-Electron Capture of ¹⁵⁶Dy to Excited Final States

Sean Finch Thesis Defense March 25, 2015

D11

VERS



Outline

- Introduction to second-order weak decays
 - Nature of the neutrino
- Double- β decay of 96 Zr
 - Two-coaxial HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Resonantly enhanced double-electron capture of ¹⁵⁶Dy
 - Two-clover HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Concluding remarks

Outline

- Introduction to second-order weak decays

 Nature of the neutrino
- Double- β decay of ⁹⁶Zr
 - Two-coaxial HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Resonantly enhanced double-electron capture of ¹⁵⁶Dy
 - Two-clover HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Concluding remarks

Weak Nuclear Decays

- β decay: $n \rightarrow p + e^{-} + V_{e}$
- Double- β decay: $2n \rightarrow 2p + 2e^{-} + 2v_{e}$
- 35 nuclides capable of $\beta\beta$; Observed in 11



Neutrinoless-ββ Decay

- $n_1 \rightarrow p_1 + e_1^- + "V_e"$ $"V_e" + n_2 \rightarrow p_2 + e_2^-$
- Never observed (one questionable claim)



Majorana Neutrinos

 $0\nu\beta\beta$ requires:

- Helicity flip
 - Solved by massive neutrinos
- Neutrinos are their own antiparticle
 - Solved by Majorana neutrinos

Why is this interesting?

- 0vββ violates lepton number conservation
- Allows measurement of neutrino mass:

$$- \lambda_{2\nu\beta\beta} = G_{2\nu} |M_{2\nu}|^2 - \lambda_{0\nu\beta\beta} = G_{0\nu} |M_{0\nu}|^2 \langle m_{\nu} \rangle^2$$

- Best limits on ⁷⁶Ge (GERDA)
 - $T_{1/2}(2\nu)=1.84^{+0.14}_{-0.10} \times 10^{21} \text{ yr}$
 - $T_{1/2}(0v) > 2.1 \times 10^{25} \text{ yr}$
 - $m_v < 200-400 \text{ meV}$

Outline

- Introduction to second-order weak decays
 - Nature of the neutrino
- Double-β decay of ⁹⁶Zr
 - Two-coaxial HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Resonantly enhanced double-electron capture of ¹⁵⁶Dy
 - Two-clover HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Concluding remarks

2vββ to Excited Final States



- $\lambda_{2\nu\beta\beta} = G_{2\nu} |M_{2\nu}|^2$
- $\lambda_{0\nu\beta\beta} = G_{0\nu} |M_{0\nu}|^2 \langle m_{\nu} \rangle^2$
- 0vββ matrix elements must be calculated
 - Tuned to reproduce 2vββ matrix elements
 - Decays to excited final states provides an additional constraint

Nuclear Matrix Elements



9

Experimental Technique



All energies in keV



Sample between two coaxial-HPGe detectors

Two-Coaxial HPGe Apparatus

- Two-coaxial HPGe detectors sandwich sample
- Active veto
 - Nal annulus for Compton suppression
 - Plastic end caps
- Passive shielding
 - ¾" OFHC copper
 - 6" lead



HPGe Spectrum



Kimballton Underground Research Facility (KURF)

- Active limestone mine
- Ripplemead, Va
 - 30 minutes from VT
 - 4 hours from Duke
- 1700 feet limestone overburden
- 1450 m.w.e. shielding from cosmic rays
- Internet access allows experiments to be controlled remotely



KURF



KURF Lab



- A. micoLENS (VT)
- B. Neutron Spectroscopy (Maryland)
- C. Present experiment (Duke)
- D. Low background radioassays (UNC)
- E. MALBEK (UNC)
- F. Low activity Ar (Princeton)
- G. Watchboy (LLNL)

⁹⁶Zr Sample

- ^{150}Nd and ^{100}Mo are the only two nuclides where $\beta\beta$ decay to an excited state has been observed
- 96 Zr as a $\beta\beta$ -decay candidate
 - High Q Value (3347 keV)
 - Ground state decay measured by NEMO collaboration
 - $T_{1/2} = [2.35 \pm 0.14 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.16 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{19} \text{ yr}$
 - 2.8⁶/₂ natural abundance
- ZrO₂ sample from ORNL:
 - 7.283 g enriched to 91.39%
 - 26.968 g enriched to 64.18%
 - Total of 17.914 g 96 Zr



96 Zr 2v $\beta\beta$ Data

- ⁹⁶Zr source in place
 - 623.8 days (1.92 yr) of data
 - 4 events in $\pm 3\sigma$ ROI
 - 2 events in $\pm 2\sigma$ ROI
 - Consistent with background
- Backgrounds
 - ²¹²Bi (²³²Th decay chain)
 - Compton scattering
 - Discriminate with energy resolution



Limit Setting

- $T_{1/2} > \frac{\ln(2)N_0 t f_b \epsilon}{N_d}$
 - N₀ Number of nuclei
 - *t* Exposure time
 - $-f_b$ Branching ratio
 - ϵ Efficiency
 - N_d Statistical factor
- Method of Feldman-Cousins used for confidence intervals

Limit versus sensitivity

- Limit: N_d(N_{obs}, N_{bkgd})
- Sensitivity: N_s(N_{bkgd})
 - The mean limit N_d
 reported by an
 experiment with
 background N_{bkgd} and no
 signal

New limits

- Limit at 90% C.L.
 - $T_{1/2} > 3.2 \times 10^{20} \text{ yr}$ - Sensitivity: $T_{1/2} > 2.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ yr}$
- Previous limit
 - $T_{1/2} > 6.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ yr}$
 - Used single well-type HPGe; no coincidence
 - Limited by high
 background and
 uncertainty from
 statistical fits



To Higher Excited States



All energies in keV

Limits on NME

•
$$\lambda_{2\nu\beta\beta} = G_{2\nu\beta\beta} |M_{2\nu\beta\beta}|^2$$

- Experiment: $\left|M_{2\nu\beta\beta}\left(0_{1}^{+}\right)\right| < 0.11$
- IBM-2 theory¹: $|M_{2\nu\beta\beta} (0_1^+)| = 0.04$



¹ J. Barea, J. Kotila, and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. **C** 87, 014315 (2013)

Single- β decay of ⁹⁶Zr



Experimental Motivation

- Help understand the nuclear structure of ⁹⁶Zr
- Possible background for experiments searching for $0\nu\beta\beta$ in ^{96}Zr
- Previous experiments used a single HPGe detector
 - β decay forms an irreducible background for excited state decays
- Using the coincidence technique in present work can unambiguously distinguish β decay and $\beta\beta$ decay

Limits on Single- β decay of 96 Zr

Three coincident γ s



- Present Experiment
 - Sum three most intense decay modes
 - Branching ratio 81.6%
 - 13 events in ROI , expect
 11.1 events background
 - $T_{1/2} > 2.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ yr}$
- Previous measurement
 - $-T_{1/2} > 3.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ yr}$
- Theory² (QRPA)
 T_{1/2} = 2.4 x 10²⁰ yr

² H. Heiskanen, M.T. Mustonen, and J. Suhonen, J. Phys. Rev. **G** 34, 837 (2007)

Outline

- Introduction to second-order weak decays
 - Nature of the neutrino
- Double- β decay of 96 Zr
 - Two-coaxial HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Resonantly enhanced double-electron capture of ¹⁵⁶Dy
 - Two-clover HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Concluding remarks

Resonant ECEC to Excited Final States

- Nucleus captures atomic electron
 - $p + e^{-} \rightarrow n + V_{e}$
- Second-order nuclear decay
 - ${}^{156}\text{Dy} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow {}^{156}\text{Gd} + 2v_{\rho}$
- For Majorana neutrinos
 - ${}^{156}\text{Dy} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow {}^{156}\text{Gd}^*$
 - Possible experimental alternative to $0\nu\beta\beta$
- No outgoing particles
 - No method to dissipate excess energy
 - Requires "monumental coincidence" that an excited nuclear state in the daughter nucleus is degenerate with the Q value

Resonant ECEC to Excited Final States

- Two neutrino mode strongly disfavored by phase space
- Observation would be evidence for Majorana neutrinos
- Rate enhancement if the Q value is degenerate with an energy level



ECEC in ¹⁵⁶Dy

Ε _γ [keV]	Iπ	Electron Orbitals	(B _{xy}) [keV]	Δ [keV]	Γ _{xy} [eV]	EF	$ \Psi_{x} ^{2} \Psi_{y} ^{2}$
1946.375	1⁻	KL ₁	58.822(8)	0.75(10)	26	4.1 x 10 ⁶	1.23 x 10 ¹⁰
1952.385	0-	KM ₁	52.192(8)	1.37(10)	35	1.7 x 10 ⁶	2.68 x 10 ¹⁰
1988.5	0+	L_1L_1	16.914(8)	0.54(24)	8	2.5 x 10 ⁶	1.65 x 10 ¹⁰
2003.749	2+	M_1N_3	2.160(24)	0.04(10)	15	7.7 x 10 ⁸	1.52 x 10 ¹

$$\mathsf{EF} = \frac{\Gamma_{XY}}{\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_{XY}^2}$$

 $\lambda^{0\nu ee} = G_{0\nu ee} |M^{0\nu ee}| \langle M_{\nu} \rangle^2 (EF)$

³ S. Eliseev et al., Phys. Rev. C 84, 012501(R) (2011)

Resonant ECEC in ¹⁵⁶Dy

- ¹⁵⁶Dy is currently one of the most promising candidates
 - Extremely low natural abundance: 0.056%
- Enriched sample from ORNL
 - 1.15 grams
 - Enriched to 21%
 - Total = 213 mg
- Detectable only by γ-ray transitions in daughter



New Two-Clover HPGe Apparatus

- Use two clover HPGe detectors
 - Segmented
 - Larger volume
- Nal annulus
- 6-8" Lead shielding
- Timeline
 - Built in 2010
 - Characterization and efficiency measurements 2010-2012
 - Moved to KURF Fall 2012
 - ¹⁵⁶Dy sample 2013-2014



Coincidence and Addback

 External coincidences 0 Internal coincidences 7 0 0 Addback coincidences



Previous Searches

Belli *et al*.⁴

- 322 g natural Dy₂O₃
- 157 mg ¹⁵⁶Dy
- 104.7 days
- Single HPGe at LNGS

This work

- Enriched sample
 - Reduce γ-ray attenuation by sample
- Cover a larger solid angle
- Ability to look at coincidence γ-rays from cascades
 - Reduce background

⁴ P. Belli et al., J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **375**, 042024 (2012)

¹⁵⁶Dy Data Acquisition

- Run #1
 - 151.95 mg
 - 99.13 days
- Run #2
 - 213.57 mg
 - 132.82 days
- Presented results are the sum of both runs

 0.119 g·yr of exposure



Capture to the 1946 keV State



- Branching ratio 57.8%
- Gate on 88.9 keV γ ray in one clover segment
- Allow singles and addback on 1857 keV

All energies in keV

Capture to the 1946 keV State



- Branching ratio 57.8%
- Gate on 88.9 keV γ ray in one clover segment
- Allow singles and addback on 1857 keV
- 1 event in ROI, expected 4.12 events background

$$-N_{s} = 4.86$$

Capture to the 1988 keV State



All energies in keV

- No nuclear data available on state
- Assume a strong transition to the 2⁺ state
 - Branching ratio = 100%
- Gate on 88.9 keV γ ray in one clover segment

Capture to the 1988 keV State



- No nuclear data available on state
- Assume a strong transition to the 2⁺ state
 - Branching ratio = 100%
- Gate on 88.9 keV γ ray in one clover segment
- 2 events in ROI, expected 3.76 events background

Capture to the 1952 keV State



All energies in keV

- Two γ-ray decay mode
 Branching ratio 44%
- Allow addback on both γs
- Three γ-ray decay mode may be reconstructed in addback
 - Additional 26.3% increase to sensitivity

Capture to the 1952 keV State



- Two γ-ray decay mode
 Branching ratio 44%
- Allow addback on both γs
- Three γ-ray decay mode may be reconstructed in addback
 - Additional 26.3% increase to sensitivity
- Given 709.9 keV event
- 2 events in ROI, expected
 2.38 events background

Capture to the 2003.7 keV State



All energies in keV

Capture to the 2003.7 keV State



- Two γ-ray decays
 - Branching ratio 25.8%
- Including contribution from ternary γ-ray decays
 - Increase sensitivity by 18%
- Top: in coincidence with 1319.7
- Bottom: in coincidence with 1242.5
- Sum all three decay modes
 - 6 events in ROI, expected 5.64 events background

Summary of Results

Jπ	E [keV]	T _{1/2} [yr] This work C.I.	T _{1/2} [yr] This work Sensitivity	T _{1/2} [yr] Previous limit ⁴
1-	1946.4	> 1.0 x 10 ¹⁸	> 2.8 x 10 ¹⁷	> 9.6 x 10 ¹⁵
0-	1952.4	> 2.2 x 10 ¹⁷	> 1.9 x 10 ¹⁷	> 2.6 x 10 ¹⁶
0+	1988.5	> 9.5 x 10 ¹⁷	> 5.0 x 10 ¹⁷	> 1.9 x 10 ¹⁶
2+	2003.7	> 6.7 x 10 ¹⁶	-	> 3.0 x 10 ¹⁴

⁴ P. Belli *et al.*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **375**, 042024 (2012)

Outline

- Introduction to second-order weak decays
 - Nature of the neutrino
- Double- β decay of 96 Zr
 - Two-coaxial HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Resonantly enhanced double-electron capture of ¹⁵⁶Dy
 - Two-clover HPGe apparatus
 - Analysis and results
- Concluding remarks

The Future

- Many $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiments are currently underway with more about to begin
 - Underway: EXO-200, GERDA, KamLAND-Zen
 - Near future: CUORE, Majorana, SNO+
- Collaborations are progressing towards 1-ton scale experiment
- Goals:
 - Illuminate the Dirac or Majorana nature of the neutrino
 - Set limits on the neutrino mass

Summary

- $\beta\beta$ of ⁹⁶Zr to excited states
 - Improve theoretical understanding of NMEs
 - Reduce uncertainty
 - Excited state decay modes could help verify discovery
 - Decreased background by coincidence technique

-
$$T_{1/2}(\beta\beta) > [1.0-3.2] \times 10^{20} \text{ yr}$$

- $-T_{1/2}^{1/2}(\beta) > 2.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ yr}$
- 0*vECEC* to excited states
 - Experimental alternative to $0\nu\beta\beta$
 - Resonant enhancement could greatly increase experimental sensitivity
 - $T_{1/2} > [0.67-10] \times 10^{17} \text{ yr}$

Thank you

How to Observe $0\nu\beta\beta$

- How to observe
 - Neutrinos escape
 - Electrons deposit energy in detector
- Majorana Experiment

 ⁷⁶Ge





HPGe Detectors

- Photoelectric effect
 - Low energies (<140 keV)
 - Full energy deposition
- Compton scattering
 - Median energies
 - Partial energy deposition
- Pair production
 - High energy
 - Requires 1.022 MeV
 - Dominates > 8 MeV



Previous Data: ¹⁰⁰Mo

- 1.033 kg ¹⁰⁰Mo (1.05 kg metal enriched to 98.4%)
- 905 days of data acquisition (at TUNL ground level)
- 35.5 ± 6.4 events (539.51 + 590.79 keV)
- $T_{1/2} = [5.5^{+1.2}_{-0.8} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{20} \text{ yr}$
- NME: 0.144 + 0.029 0.039



⁵ M.F.Kidd, J.H. Esterline, and W. Tornow, Nuc. Phys. A 821, 251 (2009)

Previous Data: ¹⁵⁰Nd

- 40.13 g ¹⁵⁰Nd
- 642 days (1.75 y) of data at KURF
- 333.97 + 406.52 keV
- Net 21.6 events
- $T_{1/2} = [1.07^{+0.45}_{-0.25} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (syst)}] \times 10^{20} \text{ yr}$
- NME: 0.0465^{+0.0098}_{-0.0054}



⁶ M.F. Kidd, J.H. Esterline, S.W. Finch, and W. Tornow, Phys. Rev. **C** 90, 055501 (2014)

Two-Coaxial Apparatus Efficiency



Coaxial HPGe efficiency



Angular Distribution



Two-Clover Apparatus Efficiency



Two-Clover Apparatus Efficiency



Clover Efficiency



Method of Feldman-Cousins

- Background = 3.0, μ = 0.5
- $P(n|\mu) = \frac{(\mu+b)^n}{n!} \exp[-(\mu+b)]$
- $R = P(n|\mu)/P(n|\mu_{best})$
- Add values of *n* in order of *R* until $\sum_{n} P(n|\mu) > C.L.$
- Here, n = [0,6] at 90%
 C.L.

n	P(n μ)	μ_{best}	P(n µ _{best})	R	rank
0	0.030	0	0.050	0.607	6
1	0.106	0	0.149	0.708	5
2	0.185	0	0.224	0.826	3
3	0.216	0	0.195	0.936	2
4	0.189	1	0.175	0.996	1
5	0.132	2	0.161	0.753	4
6	0.077	3	0.149	0.480	7

Limits on NME

