



SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE SEARCHING

Sheila Fisken
University of Edinburgh





The literature searching process


"is not an exact science but an art."

Samuel Butler

Try to think of the process as a

• 'journey not a destination' Hearst 1999





SERVICES

Figure One. Flow chart of a systematic review



Steps in the Review Process



- Defining and refining the research question
- Divide into concepts (PICO)
- Think about synonyms of key concepts
- Think of best combination of concepts
- Identify resources
- Test strategy
- Revise strategy
- Re-test strategy
- Adapt strategy for different databases



For this lecture we will be using the research question for searching demonstrations.



 What is the effectiveness of Cognitive Behaviour Therapy in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome?



GETTING STARTED



- Is there a recent review in your research area?
- Check out Cochrane
- Check out Medline using the Basic Search
- Check out Google and Google Scholar



- INFORMATION SERVICES
- Click on the links for video screenshots SERVICES
- How to find Database List
- Quick Cochrane Search

Basic Medline Search



Pearl Growing



- From one relevant article you can use
 Footnote Chasing and Citation
 searching to identify other key papers,
 relevant MeSH terms and keywords.
- Cognitive behavior therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: a multi centre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2001, 357, 841-847 Prins JB et al




Find Web of Science in the A-Z list




Web of Knowledge Cited Ref Search










Afrikaans

Arabic

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH



* "Calant All" adds the first EAA matches to your sited reference search, not all matches

Abstract of Published Item Art Exhibit Review



 What is the effectiveness of Cognitive Behaviour Therapy in Chronic Fatigue Syndrome?



- P: Patients with Chronic Fatigue Syndrome
- I: Cognitive Behaviour Therapy
- C: Other therapies or Placebo ?
- O: Reduced symptoms ?



Think of synonyms, alternative spellings at truncation possibilities.

CBT. Behavior/Behaviour Therap*


 Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, CFS, ME, Post-viral fatigue syndrome*

Limits: Adults, Humans, 1990-2011

Study design: Trial, randomised controlled trial, systematic review, observational study.



BOOLEAN OPERATORS





Boolean Operator	Examples	Retrieves
AND	children and television rodgers AND hammerstein children AND poverty	Retrieves records containing both terms
or	television or television viewing sixties OR 60s OR 1960s labor OR labour	Retrieves records containing either one or both terms
NOT	television not movies caribbean NOT cuba s1 NOT s2	Excludes records containing the second term





- Use the database specific indexing eg Medlin
 MeSH and EMTREE (Embase) and rememberentes
 that they may differ.
- Use textwords with variants and synonyms
- Truncation
- Wildcards e.g. behavio?r
- Adjacency searching e.g cognitive adj3 therap*
- Field limits e.g. trial.ti,ab
- Publication types



Which Database?



- Medline
- PsychINFO
- Embase
- Web of Knowledge



- Medline
- Why use it?
- Good coverage of wide range of clinical medical topics
- One of the longest periods of coverage: from 1966, with over 15million records
- Very strong and widely recognised controlled thesaurus of MeSH (Medline Subject Headings) for good relevancy of results. Transparent navigation of MeSH terms allows searcher to 'see inside' the organisation of the database
- Ovid interface has excellent search refining tools, and several save options including auto-alert
- Bear in mind...
- Long indexing delay: 3 to 6 months for complete records (Pre-medline helps to overcome this)
- Very large: over 11 million records, so requires sifting through results
- Of the biomedical, science and social science databases one of the weaker ones for psychiatry and psychology
- Journal coverage weighted toward North American titles
- Does not usually index publications other than journal articles

Dozier, M (2011)



EMBASE



Why use it?

- Good coverage of wide range of clinical medical topics, but particularly strong in pharmacology and psychiatry when compared with Medline.
- Good European journal coverage
- Well structured controlled thesaurus (Emtree) for good relevancy of results
- In addition to journal articles, covers meetings, conferences and symposia
- Shorter Indexing delay than Medline: 4 to 8 weeks
- BUT
- Coverage: 1980 to present



PsycINFO Why use it?

INFORMATION SERVICES

- Coverage back to 1887
- Well structured controlled thesaurus
- Indexes more than just journal articles: dissertations and books, including book chapters
- Good for all aspects of mental health
- Good for social, behavioural and psychological aspects of health and illness

BUT

 Comparatively small database: approximately 1.5 million records



- AMED (Allied and Alternative Medicine)
- Why use it?
- Subjects covered include acupuncture, homeopathy, palliative care, Chinese medicine, hospice care, physiotherapy, chiropractic, hypnosis, podiatry, herbalism, occupational therapy, rehabilitation, holistic treatments and osteopathy.
- Controlled thesaurus terms (based on MeSH) are used
- BUT
- Updated quarterly
- Not all subjects have been covered since 1985: palliative care is since 1997, speech and language therapy since 1999.
- Coverage: 1985 to present
- Number of journals indexed: nearly 600 (mostly European journals)



 VIDEOSCREENCAST OF a Medline Search using Mesh headings



Medline search



• Savoie et al (2000) estimated that 29.2% of items in their review were uncovered by:



- searching the web
- handsearching
- scanning reference lists
- personal communication
- searching specialised databases and web sites.
- Wallace et al.
 - 11 of 65 trials (17%) in end stage renal disease reviews were found by searching beyond major databases.



Beyond the Databases



- Long lead times before publication:
 - publication gaps after conference presentation
 - Cheng et al (1998)
 - Only 8.1% of a set of conference papers achieved publication within 12 months, 40% within 5 years
 - Hopewell et al (2007) Cochrane review
 - trials with positive results are published sooner than other trials
 - indexing lag between publication and recording in databases



- Egger M et al (2003) How important are comprehensive literature searches and the assessment of trial quality in systematic reviews? Empirical study.
 - Assesses effect of non-English studies, grey literature and non-MEDLINE recorded studies on the effects of meta-analyses of SRs of more than 5 RCTs.
 - Suggests that with limited resources, fewer sources might be searched without compromising efforts to reduce bias
 - Recommends adequate quality assessment of studies before inclusion in reviews
 - Does not assess the quality of the searching employed by review teams
- Generated ongoing debate



INFORMATION SERVICES

- List databases searched;
- Note the dates of the last search for each database AND the period searched;
- Note any language or publication status restrictions
- List grey literature sources;
- List individuals or organizations contacted;
- List any journals and conference proceedings specifically handsearched for the review;
- Detail may be curtailed if full information is provided in appendix/internet site e.g.



Top Tips



- Keep notes of searches and results
- Scope and Re-Scope
- Test and Re-Test- gold standard
- Avoid 'scope creep' (Booth 2011)
- Accept the 'point of diminishing returns'
- Know when to STOP
- Searching is never fully transparent, nor reproducible but make it Rigorous.





Enjoy the journey and If you need any help and advice on the way then email me

@

Sheila.Fisken@ed.ac.uk



References:

- Booth A. 'Unpacking your literature search toolbox: on search styles and tactics'. Health Information and Libraries Journal 2008; 25(4): 313-7.
- Cheng, K., C. Preston, et al. (1998). "Time to publication as full reports of abstracts of randomized controlled trials in cystic fibrosis." <u>Pediatric Pulmonology</u> **26**(2): 101-105.
- Cochrane Collaboration. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions

http://www.cochrane-handbook.org/

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Undertaking systematic reviews of research on effectiveness: CRD's guidance for those carrying out or commissioning reviews

http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/report4.htm

- Dozier, M. (2011) Searching the Literature Systematically.
- Egger, M., P. Juni, et al. (2003). "How important are comprehensive literature searches and the assessment of trial quality in systematic reviews? Empirical study." <u>Health Technology</u> <u>Assessment</u> **7**(1): 1-76.
- Hopewell S, McDonald S, Clarke MJ, Egger M. Grey literature in meta-analyses of randomized trials of health care interventions. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2007, Issue 2. Art. No.: MR000010. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.MR000010.pub3.
- Khan K S, Kunz R, Kleijnen J, Antes G Systematic Reviews to support Evidence Based Medicine London: Hodder Arnold 2011
- Savoie, I., D. Helmer, et al. (2003). Beyond Medline: reducing bias through extended systematic review search. <u>International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care</u> **19**(1): 168-78.