



EU Common Market



EU Common Market

Freedom of movement of goods (most developed and most court decisions)
Freedom of movement of persons
Freedom of movement of services
Freedom of movement of capital

Freedom of establishment

EU Common Market



Meaning of "Freedom"
A principle of EU internal market
A subjective right of EU citizens and firms
A complex of rights and obligations, connected with such "freedom"

Rule for freedoms – "All EU principles applicable"

Goods – all products rightfully produced within the EU, as well as all third country products imported with all formalities completed and custom duties paid)

 The "movement of goods" is applied also to possible barriers within each EU country

Community should be based on custom union, which should include prohibition of all custom duties and all charges having similar effect, as well as introduction of common custom tariff with respect to third countries" This prohibits quotation and any discriminative taxation.

"Effect test"Procureur de Roi v. Dassonville.





 Belgium had no right to stop the Scotch whiskey import from France, on the grounds of non-availability of a certificate of origin from a Scottish producer.

Reason – whiskey was already rightfully imported into the EU.



Dassonville results:
 Any rules which can directly or indirectly hinder the intergovernmental trade (actually or potentially) should be banned

 Consumer protection trade rules should be "reasonable", "should not create a trade obstacle" and "should be available to all EU citizens"

- Any charges regardless of size, aim, collection
- Imposed single-handedly on EU or EU-imported goods
 Fact of crossing the border
 Non-custom duties



EU Common Market movement of goods Allowed, if: Charge is part of national tax system; Charge is the compensation for services, provided to importer; Charge compensates the cost of legal inspection.



• What about the rules which apply to both national and non-national producers?

Cassis de Dijon



Real case name - <u>Rewe-Zentral</u>
 <u>AGRewe-Zentral AG v</u>
 <u>Bundesmonopolverwaltung für Branntwein</u>

German legislation had imposed several provisions concerning the minimum alcohol content for beverages – 25%.
 Importer had 20%. Can't advertise.

Court statement – "Not reasonable"

If there is no EU rule on a certain matter, states can make such rules by themselves, aimed at fiscal control, public health, honesty and consumer protection, as long as they are reasonable and proportionate".

Cassis results:
Reason test
Proportionality
Mutual recognition rule (if produced in one, can be sold in another)



EU Common Market movement of goods Lots of discrimination cases: Comission v. Italy" – difficult registration "Rewe" – plant sanitation inspection Bouhelier" – export "quality" control "Commission v Germany" (NDOOR Durity // low)



 Quotas and such – any full or partial quantitive limitation of legal and administration nature, whether in money or pcs

2/73, Geddo v. Ente Nazionale Risi



Limitations to movement of goods Public morale Public order/security Health protection National heritage protection Commercial or industrial property protection

Public Morale

 The court approved the prohibition of pornographic materials import from Netherlands to UK, because such products could not be made in UK.

 The court cancelled the prohibition of rubber dolls import (based on same legislation) from Germany, because such production was legal within UK.



Health protection "Special beer recipe" for sales in Germany was prohibited, as well as special pasta recipe for sales in Italy

However, the requirement for food producers to print out potential risk informaiton about artificial additives on food packages was held legal

 Public security
 Campus Oil v Minister for Industry and Energy.

Essence: All petroleum importers had to buy 35% of their import from a state oil refinery.
Court approved with remarks.



Public order
Very wide range of different cases.
Court finally stated, that only dangers to fundamental public interests can be defended by this concept, and not some specific areas, for example, consumer rights protection.

A state should first try all other instruments.



dreamroime....

Parts of freedom
Residence movement
Workers and their families
Non-EU Workers and their families
Shengen agreements

- "Absence of all discrimination on the grounds of citizenship of member-states workers concerning hire, reimburcement and other terms of work and hiring"
- A "worker" is any EU citizen carrying out a work for hire in another member state.
- This includes part-time, below-min-wage workers, anyone performing "a real and effective activity"

Only EU law can interpret what a "worker" is.
Students and entrepreneurs are not workers.

Most important features:

- A worker can accept any job offer in any member state.
- After retiring from it, a worker can stay and look for work on the same ground with the host country citizens
- The same goes for their family members
- All issues concerning medical and social care, wage level should be the same as
- An arriving worker can also receive the pension in the host state

Limitations to movement of persons

Public order/security
Public service
Health protection

Public order/security Van Duyn v Home office Essence: The British government denied Yvonne Van Duyn an entry permit because she was affiliated with the Scientology religion, which the government had believed to be socially harmful.

Van Duyn results:

- Participation in a certain organization can be a ground for movement of persons limitation
- It's the views of the destination state on issue that matter
- Absolute equality between host and visiting persons is not achievable

Public service

- The movement of persons freedom is not applicable to public service positions
- Public service "a number of posts, which are connected with authority execution in accordance with public law and a duty to protect national interests"
- Police, military, public officers, having real authority (not just financed by state budget)

EU Common Market – freedom of establishment

"Freedom of movement of persons" for legal entities

Entrepreneur movement
 Legal entity establishment freedom

EU Common Market – freedom of establishment

 An entrepreneur will have no restrictions for setting up and management of companies, branches, agencies and associated firms

For the purposes of this freedom, a legal entity will be equated to a person.
Non-commercial organizations limitation

EU Common Market – freedom of establishment

Limitations Official duties execution (each state decides) Public order Public security Health protection

EU Common Market - movement of services

 All payable activities not falling under the regulations of goods, persons and capital movement shall be considered to be "services"

• Two types:

Services provided at the producer turf
Services provided at the consumer turf

EU Common Market - movement of services

- All restrictions, access limitations and discrimination aimed at service provision at the territory of another member-state, should be removed.
- No need to comply with all the national rules regulating the provision of similar services by host citizens.
- Capital and transport are not regulated by this freedom. Most services require a separate "liberalization" regulation by the EU.
- Official duties limitation.

Freedom

Capital

Current payments





Capital freedom:
Finance operations connected with investment
Other member-state investment itself
Non-return of invested funds to deriving country for a reasonable period

Luisi and Carbone v Ministero del Tesoro

Current payments freedom:

- Simple transfer of foreign currency between two states
- Such transfer should be a payment for a service
- The member-state currency should used (either creditor or beneficiary)

Limitations: • Taxation law usage National law infringement prevention Administrative and statistics information gathering procedures Public policy and security provisions Most regulations – in national law (FDI, Financial services, Securities governance)

EU Common Market – Consumer right protection

 Approach difference • Rights protected: Health and security Economic interests Loss reimbursement Information and training Representation

Thank you for your attention