


Raising capital through IPO

September 15, 2011



Money raised in IPOs and SPOs by CIS companies on the LSE's Main Market






| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011(YTD) |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| No. of CIS IPOs (MM) | 1 | 9 | 13 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| No. of CIS SPOs (MM) | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Average raised (\$mn) | 178.0 | 657.9 | 1503.7 | 1,286.4 | 285.1 | 188.5 | 440.4 | 954.3 |
| Median raised (\$mn) | 178.0 | 638.8 | 748.0 | 800.0 | 334.5 | 101.0 | 400.0 | 554.5 |

- In 2011, six Russian companies conducted IPOs, raising over \$3 bn.
- Despite market volatility, companies are still seeing interest from institutional funds in emerging markets.
- Overall market
 conditions are improving
 - Average and Median
 money raised by CIS
 companies in 2011(YTD)
 are comparable to 2006
 & 2007 when the market
 was booming

Companies from Russia & CIS are an integral part of the LSE's business and enjoy high levels of liquidity





Source: www.RussianIPO.com



| Top 15 Investors in Russian IOB securities | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | Investor Name | # RIOB Stocks Held | Average position (\$) | Country | | | |
| 1 | The Vanguard Group, Inc. | 12 | 322,744,489 | United States | | | |
| 2 | Van Eck Associates Corporation | 15 | 158,991,567 | United States | | | |
| 3 | BlackRock Fund Advisors (formerly Barclays Global) | 13 | 179,298,760 | United States | | | |
| 4 | J.P. Morgan Asset Management (U.K.), LTD | 8 | 207,914,909 | United Kingdom | | | |
| 5 | Grantham Mayo Van Otterloo & Co., LLC | 13 | 127,677,637 | United States | | | |
| 6 | DWS Investment GmbH | 14 | 116,221,192 | Germany | | | |
| 7 | BlackRock Investment Management (U.K.), LTD | 11 | 124,641,556 | United Kingdom | | | |
| 8 | Aberdeen Asset Managers, LTD (U.K.) | 7 | 184,634,417 | United Kingdom | | | |
| 9 | Dimensional Fund Advisors, L.P. (U.S.) | 12 | 107,574,229 | United States | | | |
| 10 | Baring Asset Management, LTD (U.K.) | 11 | 109,047,484 | United Kingdom | | | |
| 11 | Swedbank Robur Fonder AB | 15 | 68,970,509 | Sweden | | | |
| 12 | East Capital Asset Management AB | 12 | 85,499,632 | Sweden | | | |
| 13 | SKAGEN Fondene | 3 | 315,582,157 | Norway | | | |
| 14 | Schroder Investment Management, LTD | 10 | 94,091,501 | United Kingdom | | | |
| 15 | Halbis Capital Management (U.K.), LTD | 14 | 64,624,408 | United Kingdom | | | |

Russian & CIS companies on LSE markets



Main Market



Supports the capital raising activities of more established companies

Supports the capital raising activities of earlier stage companies



- •9 Companies
- •\$41.3bn Aggregate Market Cap
- •\$5.1bn Total Money Raised in IPO



- •54 Companies
- •\$655bn Aggregate Market Cap
- •\$48.9bn Total Money Raised in IPO



- •45 Companies
- •\$6.25bn Aggregate Cap

Market


Source: www.RussianIPO.com




London Stock Exchange Group is a leader in trading emerging market securities

Average Daily Value traded (6m) as a percentage of free float market cap for emerging market securities trading on IOB

Average Daily Value traded (6m) as a percentage of free float market cap - for emerging market securities trading on SETS Average Daily Value traded (6m) as a percentage of free float market cap for top FTSE 100 constituents



Allocation of a security on IOB or SETS does not affect its liquidity




On the London Stock Exchange, issuers from emerging markets enjoy comparable liquidity with the world's most liquid securities.

ase Study: Russian listings in Hong Kong




| Issuer | United Co Rusal | Issuer | IRC Limited |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Exchange | HKSE | Exchange | HKSE |
| Listing date | 27 th Jan 2010 | Listing date | 21st Sep 2010 |
| Offer size | US\$2,240m | Offer size | US\$240m |
| Offer price | HK\$ 10.80 | Offer price | HK\$1.80 |
| Cornerstone Investors | Vnesheconombank, NR Investments, Paulson &Co, Robert Kuok , Kerry Trading, Cloud Nine and Twin Turbo subscribing to an aggregate amount of US\$833m | Cornerstone Investors | Marbella Holdings and CEF Holdings subscribing to an aggregate amount of US\$60m |

Rusal free-float shareholder base



- 40% of the offer was allocated to cornerstone investors, which prompted some parties to question whether the deal was an IPO
- The offer was effectively closed to Chinese retail investors
- Rusal's post IPO price was 21% down over the first three months and 40% down by June 2010
- Its free-float adjusted average daily liquidity (defined as value traded) is 19% lower than the daily liquidity average for top 20 Russian companies on LSE

IRC free-float shareholder base



- Priced at 20% below the price range of HK\$2.20-3.00
- IRC reduced the number of shares offered due to subdued investor demand
- Despite having very strong links with China, domestic tranche of the offering was only 24% filled
- Company's post IPO performance was poor: the price was 27% down over the first three months and is still below the offer price

Evidence suggests that going to HKSE does not necessarily attract Asian Investors:

- Rusal and IRC's investor base is still largely dominated by the UK, Cont.Europe and the US emerging markets funds
- Strong links with China do not guarantee demand from Asian investors
- Several HKSE IPOs have been recently pulled during the premarketing stage due to lack of Asian demand and listing location has been reconsidered
- London has an unmatched depth of the UK, US and Continental Europe investor demand