History of International Relations Lecture 7: The Cold War at its Height: 1953-1963

Leadership changes in the Soviet Union 1953-63

March 1953-June 1953 – 'Troika' – Malenkov, Beria, Molotov

June 1953- March 1955 – Malenkov

March 1955 - October 1964 - Krushchev

Leadership changes in the United States

November 1952-January 1961 – Dwight D. Eisenhower

January 1961- November 1963 – John F. Kennedy

Nikita Krushchev (1894-1971)



Dwight D. Eisenhower (1890-1969)



John F. Kennedy (1917-1963)



1)The paradox of a 'thaw' and Cold War Intensification after Stalin

2) New American stategies of fighting of the Cold War via the use of nuclear weapons

3) Fighting the Cold War: US Offensive Strategies

4) Post–Stalin peace efforts and arms control 1953-6

5) Discussions over the future of Germany 1953-6

6) Instability in the Soviet bloc: the East Berlin Revolt 1953

7) Instability in the Soviet bloc: the initial Hungarian Revolt 1956

8) The revolt's bloody conclusion in Hungary

9) The Berlin Crisis 1958-61

10) The Cuban Missile Crisis

11)Conclusions



• Improved relations?

- Reform thinking in the Soviet Union – new approach after death of Stalin?

- Soviet leadership troika, Malenkov, Beria, Molotov more conciliatory
- The importance of avoiding Hot War
 - Beria, proposal of 'de-Bolshevisation'
- Molotov's 'peace offensive'
 - Malenkov anti-nuclear war agenda 1953-5
- Rise of Khrushchev
 - Focus on consumerism instead of just military and industry

- Khrushchev's commitment to peaceful co-existence, disarmament proposals and diplomacy

- US Policy Dwight D. Eisenhower fight cold war but unsure about excessive rearmament – attempts at coexistence
- But Cold War intensification covert operations and security 'Project Control' 'Operation Home run', George Blake
 - propaganda also intensifies
 - growing Soviet interest in the non-European world
 - the strengthening of the two blocs

1)The paradox of a 'thaw' and Cold War Intensification after Stalin

- Eisenhower turns away from Truman's focus on rearmament why?
- a) Worried about a loss of personal freedoms
- b) Incredible expense of maintaining regular armed forces
- Eisenhower supports the Idea of using Nuclear weapons a deterrent
- Classic example of this the 'New Look' strategy of autumn 1953
- Mixture of nuclear weapons, conventional weapons and intelligence + more of a reliance on cold war allies to support the United States
- But this increased focus on nuclear weapons brought new worries in the 1950s two related examples:
- Sputnik October 1957
 - US hysteria after the launch fear Soviets have advantage in terms of technology
- The Gaither Committee final report November 1957 how to deal with nuclear attack - Belief that the Soviets were ahead of the US in terms of nuclear weapons – the so-called 'missile gap'
 - Agressive attitudes within the US military Curtis Le May if they attack: 'We'll knock the shit out of them.'
- Luckily Eisenhower doesn't overact to these reports plays down their importance

2) New American strategies of fighting the Cold War via the use of Nuclear weapons

Dwight D. Eisenhower (1890-1969)



• Criticism of NSC 68 in 1953

- no disintegration of Soviet power
- no transformation of Soviet ideology and diplomacy
- no precise war plan to overthrow the Soviet regime

Operation Solarium June 1953 - establishes new policy options - 3 teams -

 $Team\,A\,$ - focuses on rolback in Eastern Europe but also works on peaceful co-existence with Soviets in the short term

Team B – integrates Nuclear weapons into the US' Cold War strategy

Team C - aims at - among other things - the end of USSR control of Eastern European satellite states by 1965

- Becomes clear relatively quickly that Team C's plans were unrealistic
- US focuses more on Team A's work I.e. peaceful coexistence with the support of covert operations
- Eventual move away from aggressive rollback as the fifties went on

3) Fighting the Cold War: the US Offensive Strategies

- Fear of nuclear war brings both sides to the negotiating table
- Dualism of the period seeking peace publicly but also interested in maintaining their power
- Were these peace moves genuine? Disarmament dilemma of the United Statess
- Soviet proposals

- Malenkov's 1953 call for an international body to supervise comprehensive disarmament after the creation of the UN Disarmament Commission in 1951

- no first use agreement, 1954 - both proposals rejected by the USA

- The American response
 - Eisenhower's 'Chance for Peace' speech, April 1953
 - This presented a 5 point plan Anglo-American plan for arms reduction
 - Eisenhower's 'Atoms for Peace' speech, December 1953 issue of nuclear weapons brought into the open

4) Post–Stalin peace offorts and arms control - 1953-6

- 1. The limitation, by absolute numbers or by an agreed international ratio, of the sizes of the military and security forces of all nations.
- 2. A commitment by all nations to set an agreed limit upon that proportion of total production of certain strategic materials to be devoted to military purposes.
- 3. International control of atomic energy to promote its use for peaceful purposes only and to insure the prohibition of atomic weapons.
- 4. A limitation or prohibition of other categories of weapons of great destructiveness.
- 5. The enforcement of all these agreed limitations and prohibitions by adequate safeguards, including a practical system of inspection under the United Nations.



Soviet (at least publicly) put a lot of emphasis on the issue of disarmament

- Britain and France also strongly in support of this - fear of nuclear war

- Soviets in early 1955 propose on-site inspections of nuclear weapons by a central agency – rejection by US

-Austrian peace treaty – relaxation of tension – Austria becomes an independent state

- July 1955 Geneva Summit – big four meet up talk about general issues of global security

- Eisenhower's 'Open Skies' speech at Geneva proposes a free exchange of security information Soviets reject the proposal was E serious about this offer?
- Peaceful co-existence at this point basically accepted by both sides
- But high-point of Soviet-US talks serious discussions don't come again until after the Cuban missile crisis

4) Post-Stalin peace efforts and arms control 1955-6 continued

• Germany still divided – some sort of agreement needs to be reached

- Discussions surrounding Germany's economic and military strength – should it be reunited or not?

- Both sides seek to contain Germany

Soviets afraid of: German revanchism, West German acquisition of nuclear weapons and the creation of a West European Defence Community with a rearmed West Germany

Soviets sought: the demilitarisation of a future reunited Germany and they thus put forward several proposals regarding German unification

- Despite this they eventually move to consolidate East Germany – 1956 - part of the Warsaw pact – Soviet troops stationed there

US afraid that: Discussions about the future of Germany would bring divisions among their allies and that Communism might be strong in a united Germany

US sought: to strengthen and re-arm West Germany

- Eventually the US moves to consolidate West Germany - member of NATO and an independent state in 1955 - US troops stationed there

Consolidation of East and West Germany within the two blocs by 1956

5) Discussions over the future of Germany - 1953-6

- How were Soviets supposed to behave towards their satellites post-Stalin period?
- Liberalisation or continued Stalinism?
- East German a specific case Soviets try to:
 - Stop people seeking to escape to West Germany
 - One way of doing so make East Germany more controllable through rigid economic discipline
- Attempt to collectivize in 1952
- Unsuccessful reforms many flee to the West 100,000 early 1953
- Questioning of reforms
- Liberalisation introduced in East Germany but these reforms move too quickly
- The 1953 revolt
 - workers come out on the street to protest June 16 June 17 20,000 on the streets Soviet tanks come in to stop the revolt
- Consequences
 - greater Soviet incentive to consolidate and formalize the division of Germany
 - slowing of the pace of change in East Germany

6)Instability in the Soviet bloc: the East Berlin Revolt 1953



E. Berlin Revolt June 1953

- Post-Stalin in the rest of the Eastern Bloc
- Watershed moment in Eastern Europe Krushchev speech at 20th Party Congress – February 1956 – criticising Stalin
- Greater freedom across the Eastern bloc Stand off in Poland October 1956 Bierut, Gomulka and Krushchev – deal worked out – eventual liberalisation in Poland
- Hungary Imre Nagy's reforms (1953-5)
 - against concentration on heavy industry
 - release of some political prisoners
 - led to replacement by Rakosi in 1955
- Protests emerge in October 1956 -
- Workers wanted factory committees not control through Communist party
 - resentment at Moscow control
 - student demo in Budapest 23 October 200,000 on the streets, spreads to other areas
- Soviet troops arrive 24 October, Imre Nagy returns to power, appeal to demonstrators to stop violence
- Armed resistance continues on the streets of Budapest uneasy truce
- 28 October: Soviet withdrawal of troops from Budapest

7) Instability in the Soviet bloc: the Initial Hungarian Revolt 1956

Soviet domestic opposition to withdrawal of troops from Budapest

- Soviet leaders worry about the propaganda disaster of the revolt
- But don't want to be too repressive due to world opinion looking on

New international developments

- Israeli invasion of Egypt 29 October
- British bombing of Egypt 31 October Suez crisis
- As a result US announce non-intervention in the affairs of other countries
- US decision + Soviet fear of losing out in Europe and the Middle East convinces Moscow to redeploy troops in Hungary
- Soviets redeploy troops in Hungary, October 31 1956
- 25,000 die, 200,000 escape Imre Nagy replaced and executed
- **Consequences** West pull back from offensive cold war strategies due to Soviet actions

8) The revolt's bloody conclusion in Hungary

• Importance of Berlin – Germany divided but Berlin relatively open

- important enough for US to risk general war
- centre of western offensive Cold War measures
 - tunnel for eavesdropping
- Soviet concerns over Berlin in the 1950s
 - Western subversion
 - the flow of refugees to the West
 - West Berlin an embarassment for the Soviet Union bad for propaganda Easterners can compare their economic situation there
- US concerns
 - maintaining access through East Germany
 - no practical conventional defence for Berlin in case of a Hot war
- Soviet attempts to put pressure on the West November 1958
 - Krushchev demands that Berlin become a free city in 6 months or East Germany should be given full sovereignty over the city
 - Shock and anger from the American side

9) The Berlin Crisis 1958-61



Division of Germany

Nikita Krushchev (1894-1971)



John F. Kennedy (1917-1963)



Soviet ultimatum expires 1959 - Krushchev in 1960 waits to exploit the new, inexperienced Kennedy administration

- Pressure from Walter Ulbricht to solve the growing exodus problem 200,000 escape in 1960. Proposes to:
 - make Berlin a free city
 - formalize the division of Germany in a 4 power treaty
 - to give East Germany control of access routes to Berlin

1961 - Khrushchev tries to put pressure on Kennedy

- Vienna meeting with Kennedy 1961- attempt at intimidation via a 6 month ultimatum

- America was determined to preserve the status quo useful for spying etc
 - America doesn't want to give the upper hand to the Soviets
 - West European politicians anxious about potential war

• Stage was set for another crisis

- US conventional arms build up
- Soviet defence budget increases by 33%

- Krushchev proposes the building of the wall – August 1961 – Kennedy tacit acceptance

Consequences – spheres of influence accepted – drawback from crisis

9) The Berlin Crisis 1958-61



The Building of the Berlin Wall – August 1961



The Cuban Missile Crisis 1962

• Why did Krushchev decide to install the missiles on Cuba in April1962?:

- Krushchev likes to take risks as shown by past actions regarding Berlin
- to protect Castro from American aggression and assassination Bay of Pigs April 1961
- to show the world the Soviet were strong in terms of nuclear weapons
- to appease domestic critics
- Khrushchev believed Kennedy was a weak president
- US discovers the missiles in October 1962 provokes strong reactions:
 - Shock and anger across the United States
 - JFK quickly forms an Executive committee to discuss different plans of action
 - Some members of the Executive committee called for an air-strike

- Kennedy and other more moderate members decide on a quarantine

- Krushchev writes letters to JFK proposing solutions
- Krushchev eventually agrees to back down after JFK agrees to remove nuclear weapons from Turkey

Consequences for the Cold War

- -increased need to reduce the risk of Hot War and move towards peaceful co-existence
- Moves towards discussions regarding disarmamenr/nuclear weapons
 - US accept Soviet Union as a main power
- America agrees to accept Cuba as a Communist country

10) The Cuban Missile Crisis

1)Initially improved relations between US/USSR after the death of Stalin

2) Immediate post-Stalin period characterised by disarmament talks

3)**However US** continue to debate how to fight the cold war – importance of a nuclear deterrent and both sides continue covert operations

4)Soviet Union - attempts to reform/liberalise – has a considerable impact on control over their satellites in Eastern Europe

5) Germany a crucial issue in the period – East and West Germany stabilise – but massive tensions over East and West Berlin

6) Shock of the Cuban Missile Crisis – would lead to more honest attempts at peaceful co-existence/nuclear arms control after 1963

11) Conclusions