










A similar legal concept Eye for an eye first recorded in the Code of Hammurabi. Qisas was a practice used as a resolution tool in inter-tribal conflicts in pre-Islam Arab society. The basis of this resolution was that member from the tribe to which the murder belonged was handed over to the victim's family for execution, equivalent to the social status of th murdered person. The condition of soci equivalence meant the execution of a member of the murderer's tribe who was equivalent to the murdere in that the murdered person was male or female, slav or free, elite or commonone. For example, only or slave could be killed for a slave, and a woman for woman. In these cases, compensatory payme (Divya) could be paid to the family of the murdered person. On this pre-Islamic understanding added a debate about whether a Muslim can be executed for non-Muslim during the Islamic period



The main verse for implementation in Islam is Al Bagara; 178 verse; Believers! Retaliation is ordained for you regarding the people who were killed. Free versus free, captive versus captive, woman versus woman. Whoever is forgiven by the brother of the slain for a price, let him abide by the custom and pa ".the price well According to the traditional Muslim view, the major precepts of Sharia were passed down directly from the Islamic prophet **Muhammad** without "historical development, and the emergence of Islamic jurisprudence (figh) also goes back to the lifetime of Muhammad. In this view, his companions and followers took what he did and approved of as a model (sunnah) and transmitted this information to the succeeding generations in the form of <u>hadith</u>. [6][7] These reports led first to informal discussion and then systematic legal thought, articulated with greatest success in the eighth and ninth centuries by the master jurists Abu Hanifah, Malik ibn Anas, Al-Shafi'i, and Ahmad ibn Hanbal, who are viewed as the founders of the <u>Hanafi</u>, <u>Maliki</u>, <u>Shafiʻi</u>, and <u>Hanbali</u> legal scho<u>ols</u> .(madhhabs) of Sunni jurisprudence



Modern historians have presented alternative theories of the formation of figh At first Western scholars accepted the general outlines of the traditional account. In the late 19th century, an influential maissing hypothesis was advanced. by Ignac Goldziner and elaborated by Joseph Schacht in the mid-20th century. Schacht and other scholars argued that having conquered much more populous agricultural and urban societies with already existing laws and legal needs, the initial Muslim efforts to formulate legal norms regarded the Quran and Muhammad's hadiths as just one source of law with jurist personal opinions, the legal practice of conquered peoples, and the decrees and decisions of the caliphs also being valid sourcesAccording to this theory, most canonical hadiths did not originate with Muhammad but were actually created at a later date, despite the efforts hadith scholars to weed out fabrications. After it became accepted that legal norms must be formally grounded in scriptural sources, proponents of rules of jurisprudence supported by the hadith would extend the chains of transmission of the hadith back to Muhammad's companions. In his view, the real architect of Islamic jurisprudence was Al-Shafi'i (d. 820 CE/204 AH), who formulated this idea (that legal norms must be formally grounded in scriptural sources) and other elements of classical legal theory in his work <u>al-risala</u> but who was preceded by a body of Islamic law not based on primacy of .Muhammad's hadiths



While the origin of hadith remains a subject of scholarly controversy, this theory (of Goldziher and Schacht) has given rise to objections, and modern historians generally adopt more cautious, intermediate positions and it is generally accepted that early is amic jurisprudence developed out of a combination of administrative and popular practices shaped by the religious and ethical precepts of Islam It continued some aspects of pre-Islamic laws and customs of the land that fell under Muslim rule in the aftermath of the earl conquests and modified other aspects, aiming to meet th practical need of establishing Islamic norms of behavior an adjudicating disputes arising in the early Muslin communities Juristic thought gradually developed in study circles, where independent scholars met to learn from a local master and discuss religious topicsAt first, these circles wer fluid in their membership, but with time distinct regional lega schools crystallized around shared sets of methodological principles. As the boundaries of the schools became clear delineated, the authority of their doctrinal tenets came to be vested in a master jurist from earlier times, who was henceforth identified as the school's founder in the course the first three centuries of Islam, all legal schools came to accept the broad outlines of classical legal theory, according to which Islamic law had to be firmly rooted in the Quran





 Classical jurists held that human reason is a g capacity. However, they believed that use of wrong, and that rational argumentation mus knowledge revealed in the Quran and throug

• Traditional theory of Islamic jurisprudence el the standpoint of linguistics and rhetoric. It a of hadith and for determining when the legal passage revealed at a later date. In addition Sunni figh recognizes two other sources of la (qiyas). It therefore studies the application a consensus, along with other methodological certain legal schools. This interpretive apparawhich refers to a jurist's exertion in an attem theory of Twelver Shia jurisprudence paralle as recognition of reason ('aql) as a source of sunnah to include traditions of the imams.

t from God which should be exercised to its fullest eason alone is insufficient to distinguish right from draw its content from the body of transcendental the sunnah of Muhammad.

comprises methods for establishing authenticity of a scriptural passage is abrogated by a the Quran and sunnah, the classical theory of juristic consensus (ijma') and analogical reasoning limits of analogy, as well as the value and limits of inciples, some of which are accepted by only is brought together under the rubric of ijtihad, to arrive at a ruling on a particular question. The lat of Sunni schools with some differences, such place of qiyas and extension of the notion of



- Quran: In Islam, the Quran is considered to be the most sacred source of law.Classical jurists held its textual integrity to be beyond doubt on account of it having been handed down by many people in each generation, which is known as "recurrence" or "concurrent transmission" (tawātur).Only several hundred verses of the Quran have direct legal relevance, and they are concentrated in a few specific areas such as inheritance, though other passages have been used as a source for general principles whose legal ramifications were elaborated by other means.
- Hadith: The body of hadith provides more de and practical legal guidance, but it was hentic Early Islamic scholars developed a assessing trustworthiness of the individuals recognized early on that not all of them were methodology for evaluating their authenticit narrowed down the vast corpus of prophetic listed in their transmission chains. These crit traditions to several thousand "sound" hadit Which were collected in several canonical compilations The hadiths which enjoyed con ent transmission were deemed unquestionably authentic; however, the vast majority of had were handed down by only one or a few transmitters and were therefore seen to yield probable knowledge The uncertainty was contained in some hadiths and Quranic further compounded by ambiguity of the lan passages. Disagreements on the relative merits and interpretation of the textual sources allowed legal scholars considerable leeway in formulating alternative rulings.



ate a ruling based on probable evidence to

authority from a series of hadiths stating that

ny particular generation, acting as practical difficulty of obtaining and ascertaining

on legal development. A more pragmatic form

ting works of prominent jurists, was used to for further discussion. The cases for which there

rror.This form of consensus was technically

of the body of classical jurisprudence.

Ijma: It is the consensus that could in principle absolute certainty. This classical doctrine drew the Islamic community could never agree on defined as agreement of all competent jurists representatives of the community. However, such an agreement meant that it had little into of consensus, which could be determined by confirm a ruling so that it could not be reopen was a consensus account form less than 1 per

ts place.

• Qiyas: It is the Analogical reasoning that is used to derive a ruling for a situation not addressed in the scripture by analogy with a scripturally based rule. In a classic example, the Quranic prohibition of drinking wine is extended to all intoxicating substances, on the basis of the "cause" ('illa) shared by these situations, which in this case is identified to be intoxication. Since the cause of a rule may not be apparent, its selection commonly occasioned controversy and extensive debate. Majority of Sunni Muslims view Qiyas as a central Pillar of Ijtihad. On the other hand; Zahirites, Ahmad ibn Hanbal, Al-Bukhari, early Hanbalites, etc rejected Qiyas amongst the Sunnis. Twelver Shia jurisprudence also does not recognize the use of qiyas, but relies on reason ('aql) in





• The legal system of Lebanon is based on a combination of Civil Law, Islamic, and Ottoman legal principles, and the laws of the Lebanese legislature. Article 20 of the Lebanese Constitution guarantees that the judiciary is founded as an independent entity, subject only to the law. Decree Law No. 7855 of 1961, which is known as the Judicial Organization Law, governs the structure and function of the judiciary.

• The legal system is governed by a series of specialized codes of law. These include the Code of Obligations and Contracts of 1932, which is the primary source for civil law; the Code of Civil Procedure, contained in Decree Law No. 90 of 1983; the Code of Commerce of 1942; the Penal Code, originally formulated in Decree Law No. 340 of 1943; and the Code

of Criminal Procedure.



 The judiciary is comprised of ordina wand exceptional courts. The erarchy, and they are subdivided into ordinary courts are arranged in a he base of the structure are the Courts criminal and civil departments. At the of First Instance. These Courts are organized into chambers of three judges each, although a single judge may adjudicate civil cases of lesser value and minor criminal cases. Judgments from the Courts of First Instance can be appealed to the Courts of Appeal, which have both appellate and original jurisdictions over felonies. There are six Courts of Appeal, one located in each district (Mohafazat). They are presided over by a First President, or Chief Judge, with supervisory and administrative duties, and comprise a Public Prosecution Department headed by an attorney general



 The Supreme Judicial Council, headed by the First he Court of Cassation, is in President, or Chief Justice, charge of judicial appointme s, transfers, training and disciplinary actions. Addition members of the Council include the Attorney General of the Court of Cassation, the head of and an inspector from the Judicial Inspection Board, and three justices appointed by decree who serve two-year appointments. Laws are published in the official Gazette and cases are published in the Lebanese Judicial Review, a publication of the Ministry of Justice.





The Constitutional Council, created in 1990, judges the .constitutionality of laws and adjudicates election disputes

Special Bodies •

The Council of the State, established in 1924, is empowered to try disputes between individuals and the state. The Shari'a Courts, which settle matters of personal status, are divided into Sunni and Shi'a units. The Ecclesia stical Courts, composed of various Christian and Jewish divisions, settle matters of personal status for individuals from their respective communities. In addition, there are several other courts with specialized jurisdiction, including the Labor Court, Land Court, Customs Committee, Military Courts, and Juvenile Courts



• There are four principal faculties of law in Lebanon. The Bar Association of Lebanon was first organized by the Decree of February 6, 1919.

• It organizes the profession into two Bars in Tripoli and in Beirut. A president, elected for a two-year term, and a 12-member panel, elected for three-year terms, head each Bar Association. All practicing lawyers must be registered in the appropriate Bar.

