Lecture 2. Conditional statements. Converse and inverse theorem. Types of proofs. Mathematical induction. Sequences.

## Conditional statements.

Phrases such as *if... then...*, and *... if and only if ...* are frequently used to connect **simple statements** that can be described as either **true** or **false**. For the sake of typographical convenience, there are conventional logical symbols for representing such phrases.

Suppose P and Q are two different statements. The compound statements

if P then Q

and

#### P implies Q

mean that if P is true then Q is true. This is written symbolically as

$$P \Rightarrow Q. \tag{1.1}$$

We say that

#### P is a sufficient condition for Q

or

Q is a necessary condition for P.

In the above context, P stands for the hypothesis or assumption, and Q is the conclusion.

### Let's train the brain!

Compound statement:

If student pass exams then he will receive the scholarships.

Formulate by yourselves:

.... Is sufficient condition for ....

.... Is necessary condition for ....

.... implies ....

# Theorem. Inverse and converse theorem.

**Theorem.** If P then Q.  $P \Rightarrow Q$ 

**Converse Theorem.** If Q then P.  $Q \Rightarrow P$ 

**Inverse Theorem.** If P then not Q.  $P \Rightarrow \overline{Q}$ 

Example 2.1

Theorem. If student pass exams then he will receive the scholarships.

**Converse Theorem.** If student receive the scholarships then he will pass exams.

Inverse Theorem. If student pass exams then he will not receive the scholarships.

# Let's train the brain!

- 1) Theorem. If it rains then the ground is wet. Formulate
  - a) converse theorem
  - b) inverse theorem
  - c) converse of inverse theorem
  - d) inverse of converse theorem
  - 2) Theorem. If a=0 or b=0 then ab=0. Formulate
    - a) converse theorem
    - b) inverse theorem
    - c) converse of inverse theorem
    - d) inverse of converse theorem

2) Theorem. If two sides of a triangle are equal, then it is isoscelesFormulate a) converse theorem b) inverse theorem c) converse of inverse theorem d) inverse of converse theorem

### Types of proofs.

### **Theorem.** If P then Q. $P \Rightarrow Q$

- 1. Assume that P is true and prove that Q is true (direct proof).
- 2. Assume that Q is false and prove that P is false (contrapositive proof).
- 3. Assume that P is true and Q is false, and then prove that this leads to a contradiction (proof by contradiction).

### Theorem. If a=0 or b=0 then ab=0.

**Theorem**. If a=0 or b=0 then ab=0.

**Direct proof**. Let a=0 or b=0 then its' product ab will be equal 0 by rules of multiplication.

**Contrapositive proof.** Assume that  $ab \neq 0$ . Then by rules of

multiplication both  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . It is true, because that source theorem is true.

**Proof by contradiction.** Assume that a=0 or b=0 and  $ab \neq 0$ . But if a=0 or b=0 then ab = 0 by rules of multiplication. Our first assumption leads to contradiction. Because that source theorem is true.

## Mathematical induction

The main idea of MI is – let we have some statement connected with natural numbers (sometimes with integers). Examples:

- 1) for any natural *n* the formula  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  is true
- 2) for any natural *n* number  $2^{3^n} + 1$  is divisible by  $3^{n+1}$

3) 
$$1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{n}, n \ge 2$$
 )

- 4)  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{m-1}, F_m \vdash G \implies F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{m-1} \vdash F_m \rightarrow G$  deduction theorem (in axiomatic system of mathematical logic)
- 5) For lists xs of any length map f (take k xs) = take k (map f xs) functions of Haskell (programming language)

A proof by induction consists of three steps.

The first, the base step (BS) (or basis), proves the statement for n = 0 or for n=1.

The second step is the assumption of induction (AS). We assume that the statement holds for any given n = k or  $n \le k$ .

And third step is the induction step (IS), it proves that if the statement holds for any given case n = k, then it must also hold for the next case n = k + 1.

These steps establish that the statement holds for every natural number n. So if statement holds for some initial number  $n_0$  (by BS), then it holds for  $n_0 + 1$  (by AS and IS). If it holds for  $n_0 + 1$ , then it holds for  $n_0 + 1 + 1 = n_0 + 2$  and so on.

Example 2.4 Prove that any sum equal or greater than 8 cents may be collected using coins of 3 and 5 cents

BS. Can we collect sum = 8 cents?

AS. Assume that we can collect sum of n cents.

IS. So we have a sum of n cents. Can you offer the way how we can get n+1 cents? Which coins we need to remove and which coins we need to add?

### 2.1 Sequences of real numbers

We deal with the fundamental properties of sequences and series of real numbers. We place particular emphasis on the concept of "convergence," a thorough understanding of which is important for the study of the various branches of mathematical physics that we are concerned with subsequent chapters.

At first let's imagine we have a natural sequence:

1,2,3,...,*n*...,*n*',....(1)

where numbers stand in increasing order, so that a larger number n' follows after smaller number n (or a smaller number precedes a larger one).

Now let's change every number in sequence (1) by some real number  $x_{x}$  using some rule. Then we have got a real sequence:

 $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots, x_{n'}, \dots$  (2)

Briefly, we shall write real sequence (or simply - sequence) as  $(x_n)$ .

Note! Notation  $(x_n)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are not equal! If  $x_n = 1$  for any  $n \in N$  then  $(x_n)$  means infinite sequence 1, 1, 1, 1, ... whereas  $\{x_n\}$  means a set consisted of single number 1.

### **Examples of sequences**

### 1) Arithmetic progression

a, a+d, a+2d, ..., a+(n-1)d, ...

### 2) Geometric progression

 $a, aq, aq^2, \ldots, aq^{n-1}, \ldots$ 1 2 3 n

3) Approximation to  $\pi$ 

 $(x_n) = (3.1, 3.14, 3.142, \cdots, x_n, \cdots),$ 

$$x_{n} = 1;$$

$$1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots$$

$$x_{n} = (-1)^{n+1};$$

$$1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots$$

$$x_{n} = \frac{1 + (-1)^{n}}{n},$$

$$0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$$

$$x_{n} = \frac{1 + (-1)^{n}}{n},$$

$$1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6$$

We start with a precise definition of the convergence of a real sequence, which is an initial and crucial step for various branches of mathematics.

♠ Convergence of a real sequence:

A real sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be convergent if there exists a real number x with the following property: For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an integer N such that

$$n \ge N \Rightarrow |x_n - x| < \varepsilon.$$
 (2.1)

We must emphasize that the magnitude of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary. No matter how small an  $\varepsilon$  we choose, it must always be possible to find a number N that will increase as  $\varepsilon$  decreases.

*Remark.* In the language of neighborhoods, the above definition is stated as follows: The sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to x if every neighborhood of x contains all but a finite number of elements of the sequence.

When  $(x_n)$  is convergent, the number x specified in this definition is called a limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$ , and we say that  $x_n$  converges to x. This is expressed symbolically by writing

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x,$$

or simply by

 $x_n \to x.$ 

If  $(x_n)$  is not convergent, it is called divergent.

*Remark.* The limit x may or may not belong to  $(x_n)$ ; this situation is similar to the case of the limit point of a set of real numbers discussed in Sect. 1.1.5.

```
Example 2.1. Let sequence is defined be x_n = \frac{1}{n}. Is that sequence is
```

convergent?

Solution. Sequence consist of numbers

 $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$ 

With increasing the index the elements are closer to zero. Suppose that 0 is the limit of this sequence. To prove this fact we must find integer index N for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

```
\left|\frac{1}{n}-0\right| < \varepsilon
   < \varepsilon
n is natural number and we can rewrite absolute expression as
\frac{1}{-} < \varepsilon
n
Then we can multiply both part by n and divide by \varepsilon:
1 < n\varepsilon
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} < n
n > \frac{1}{n}
So, inequality (2.1) will be satisfied when n is greater than 1/\varepsilon
          5
              0.1
                      0.08 0.0016
ε
               11
                      13
                               ?
N(\varepsilon)
         1
```